# **ON BRIESKORN'S THEOREM**

BY

## V. HINICH

Department of Theoretical Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, *Rehovot 76100, Israel* 

### ABSTRACT

A cohomologicai proof of Brieskorn's theorem describing the singularity of **the** nilpotent cone of a complex simple Lie algebra in a subregular point, is given.

## **1. Introduction**

Let G be a complex simple algebraic group with the Lie algebra g. Let  $\mathcal N$  denote the nilpotent cone of g.

It is well-known that  $\mathcal N$  admits an open G-orbit  $\mathcal O_{\text{reg}}$  (the regular nilpotent orbit of g) and that the complement  $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{O}_{reg}$  has codimension two in  $\mathcal{N}$ . An element  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  is called subregular if it generates an orbit of codimension two in  $\mathcal N$ . The orbit of a subregular element is also called subregular. The singularity of  $\mathcal N$  in a subregular point is essentially two-dimensional. The result of E. Brieskorn (cf. [B2]) claims that this singularity is a rational double point whose Dynkin graph coincides with that of the Lie algebra g when the latter is of type *An, Dn*  or  $E_6 - E_8$ .

In his original proof [B2] Brieskorn calculates the equations which give singularities in subregular points and compares them with the known equations for rational double points. Slodowy in [S1] reproves the result differently.

First of all, Slodowy proves that the nilpotent cone is normal in subregular points. (The result is due to Kostant, see [K], Th. 0.8.) After that, a cohomological calculation (apparently due to P. Detigne) shows that all self-intersection

Received March 17, 1991 and in revised form June 3, 1991

indices of the components of the exceptional divisor for a resolution of the singularity, are always equal to  $-2$ . Finally, the intersections of different components of the exceptional divisor are determined using a theorem of Tits (cf. [St], pp. 147-148).

In this note we calculate the intersection indices by purely cohomological methods. This gives a more conceptual explanation of the coincidence of Cartan matrices describing simple Lie algebras and their subregular singularities. Moreover, our calculation provides a proof of Tits' theorem *loc.* cit. which needs no caseby-case analysis. As a by-product we obtain that subregular orbits are unique (see [St], Th.1, p.  $145$ ).

We wish to thank A. Joseph and V. Berkovich for their helpful comments and suggestions.

### **2. Desingularizations**

*It is pleasant to be able to start with a nice desingularization*  [St], p. 129

In (2.1) we describe a desingularization of the nilpotent cone  $\mathcal N$  due to T. Springer,  $[Sp]$ . An appropriate base change (cf.  $(2.2)$ ) gives a desingularization for the rational double point in question. All the constructions here are well-known (see [Sl]).

We shall equally use the notations  $g_x = x^{g^{-1}}$  for the result of the adjoint action *of*  $g \in G$  on  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ 

(2.1) Fix a Borel subgroup  $B \subseteq G$ , denote by b the corresponding Borel subalgebra of g and let n be its nilradical. The tangent space to the flag variety  $G/B$  in a point gB identifies with g  $\frac{9}{b}$  and so the corresponding cotangent space identifies with  $\mathfrak{<sup>g</sup>}$ n. Let  $X = T^*(G/B)$  be the cotangent bundle of  $G/B$ . This can be considered as a sub-bundle of the trivial bundle  $G/B \times g$  and so the composition

$$
\pi:X\to G/B\times\mathfrak{g}\stackrel{pr_2}{\to}\mathfrak{g}
$$

is defined. Its image coincides with  $\int f \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathcal{N}$ .

THEOREM ([Sp]): The map  $\pi : X \to \mathcal{N}$  constructed above is a desingularization.

(2.2) Let now  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  be a subregular element and let  $T \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be a transverse slice for g in x. Then  $T \cap \mathcal{N}$  is two-dimensional having an isolated singularity in x and we choose T so that x is the only point of  $T \cap \mathcal{N}$  not belonging to  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{rec}}$ . The scheme-theoretic inverse image  $S = \pi^{-1}(T) \subseteq X$  is a desingularization for  $T \cap N$ .

We are now able to formulate Brieskorn's theorem in a more general form proposed by P. Slodowy (cf. [Sl], 6.4).

THEOREM: The surface  $T \cap \mathcal{N}$  has a rational double point in x. If the Lie algebra *g has a homogeneous Dynkin graph then the singularity ha z is described by the same graph. For the non-homogeneous case the correspondence is described by the table below.* 



## 3. Subregular Elements in n

We fix a nilpotent element  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  and recall that the fixed point variety of x is defined as

$$
\mathcal{B}_x = \{ g B | x^g \in \mathfrak{n} \}.
$$

Consider the following diagram

$$
G \xrightarrow{p} G/B
$$
  

$$
g \downarrow
$$
  

$$
G_x
$$

with  $p(g) = gB$ ,  $q(g) = x^g$ .

One has  $p^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_x) = q^{-1}(G_x \cap \mathfrak{n})$ . It is easy to see that  $qp^{-1}$  and  $pq^{-1}$  establish a one-to-one correspondence (Spaltenstein correspondence) between

irreducible components of  ${}^G x \cap n$  and  $A_x(=\text{Stab}_G(x)/\text{Stab}_G^o(x))$ -orbits on the set of irreducible components of  $B_x$  -- see [Spa].

Let now x be subregular. Then the components of  ${}^G x \cap n$  have dimension

$$
\dim \mathcal{B}_x + \dim B - \dim \operatorname{Stab}_G x = \dim \mathfrak{n} + \dim \mathcal{B}_x - 2 = \dim \mathfrak{n} - 1.
$$

These components are all  $B$ -stable by the construction and it is well-known that n admits a dense B-orbit -- this is the set  $\mathcal{O}_{reg} \cap n$  of regular elements in n. So the only candidates for the role of the components of  ${}^G x \cap n$  are (open subsets of) the nilradicals  $m_{\alpha}$  of the minimal parabolic subalgebras  $p_{\alpha}$ . On the other hand, Richardson's theorem [R], Prop.4, claims that  ${}^{G}m_{\alpha}$  is a closed irreducible subset of codimension two in  $N$ , and so it admits an open orbit of the same dimension. Thus all  $m_{\alpha}$  appear eventually as the closures of components of  ${}^{G}x\cap n$  with some subregular element x. A subregular orbit  $G_x$  which is dense in a fixed  $m_\alpha$  is obviously unique.

We shall need the following lemma which is a simple part of Tits' result [St], pp. 147-148.

**LEMMA:** (a) *Every irreducible component of*  $B_x$  has a *form*  $gP_\alpha/B$  *for some*  $q \in G$  and a simple root  $\alpha$ .

(b) Different components of  $B_x$  corresponding to the same simple root  $\alpha$  do not meet; those corresponding to different simple roots either don't meet or meet *transversaUy in one point.* 

*Proof:* (a) Let C be a component of  $B_x$  and let  $m_\alpha \cap G_x$  be the component of  $n \cap G_x$  corresponding to C. Since  $m_\alpha \cap G_x$  is  $P_\alpha$ -invariant, its pre-image  $q^{-1}(m_\alpha \cap G_x)$ x)  $\subseteq G$  is invariant under the right action of  $P_{\alpha}$ . Thus the same is true for  $C \subseteq G/B$  and, since C is one-dimensional, the only possibility for C is to be the image of  $gP_{\alpha}$ .

(b) The first part of the claim immediately follows from (a). If two components  $gP_{\alpha}/B$ ,  $hP_{\beta}/B$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ) meet in a point, one can suppose without loss of generality that  $g = h = 1$  and that the intersection point is  $1 \cdot B$ . This is the only intersection point since  $P_{\alpha} \cap P_{\beta} = B$  and the curves meet transversally since their tangent spaces are different.

The lemma is proven.

## **4. Projective Lines on a Variety**

 $(4.1)$  TYPE OF A LINE. Let X be a complex algebraic variety. We call a **projective line on** X a closed embedding  $i : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow X$ . The type of the projective line is defined as follows.

Consider the induced homorphism of cohomology algebras

$$
i^*: H^{\cdot}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{\cdot}(\mathbb{P}^1,\mathbb{Z}).
$$

The cohomology of the projective line is well-known: one has  $H(\mathbf{P}^1,\mathbf{Z})$  $= \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2)$  where x has degree 2 and it is the class of the linear bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . So the ring homomorphism  $i^*$  defines (and is uniquely defined by) a  $Z$ -homomorphism  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Definition:* The type of  $i : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow X$  is the element of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^*$  defined above.

(4.2) INTERSECTION WITH DIVISORS. Let now X be non-singular and let  $D$ be a divisor on X. Suppose as in (4.1) we are given a curve  $i : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow X$ . The intersection index  $(i, D)_X$  can be defined as the degree of the invertible sheaf  $i^*(\mathcal{L}(D))$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . In other words, if we denote by  $\text{cl}(D)$  the cohomology class of the divisor D (or, equivalently, of the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(D)$ ) in  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ , then we have an obvious formula

$$
(i, D)_X = \langle \text{ type } (i), \text{ cl } (D) \rangle
$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the canonical pairing.

(4.3) A CALCULATION FOR THE FLAG VARIETY. Let now  $X = G/B$  be a flag variety. Fix a simple root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and consider the line  $i_{\alpha}: P_{\alpha}/B \longrightarrow G/B$ . Recall that  $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*$  in order that the class of a linear bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  corresponding to the character  $e^{\lambda}: B \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is exactly  $\lambda$ .

LEMMA: The type of  $i_{\alpha}$  is  $-\alpha^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{h}_Z$ .

**Proof.** The category of G-linear bundles over  $G/B$  is equivalent to the category of one-dimensional B-modules. The inverse image functor from the category of linear bundles over  $G/B$  to the category of linear bundles over  $P^1 = SL_2/B_2$  $(B_2)$  being the corresponding Borel) is expressed in these terms as the forgetful functor from the category of  $B$ -modules to that of  $B_2$ -modules. In other words, the inverse image functor takes a character  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_Z^*$  to its restriction  $\lambda |_{C\alpha^{\vee}}$ . Recall

that the line bundle over  $SL_2/B_2$  corresponding to a character  $\lambda : \mathbb{C}\alpha^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  where  $n = -\langle \alpha^{\vee}, \lambda \rangle$  which proves exactly what we need. **|** 

## 5. Cartan Matrix in  $H^{1}(T^{*}(G/B))$

(5.1). We use here the notations of Sections 2-4. So,  $S = \pi^{-1}(T)$  is a desingularization of  $T \cap \mathcal{N}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is the corresponding exceptional divisor. The natural projection  $\nu : X = T^*(G/B) \longrightarrow G/B$  identifies the exceptional divisor with the fixed point variety  $B_x$ .

(5.2). Consider the following set of divisors  $D_{\alpha}$  in  $X = T^*(G/B)$  indexed by the simple roots of g with respect to b:

$$
D_{\alpha}=G\times^B \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}\subseteq G\times^B \mathfrak{n}=T^*(G/B).
$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}(D_{\alpha}) = \nu^* \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$  and so the following result immediately follows from Lemma (4.3).

PROPOSITION: Let C be a component of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  corresponding to a simple root  $\alpha$  (see Section 3). Then  $(C, D_{\beta})_X = -\langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle$ .

We wish to deduce from this the intersection matrix of the components of the exceptional divisor.

(5.3). The connection between the two Cartan matrices results from the following

LEMMA: Let  $j : S \longrightarrow X$  be the embedding map. Then  $j^*(D_\alpha)$  is the sum of the components of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  corresponding to  $\alpha$ .

*Proof:* Note that (as a set of closed points)  $\cup D_{\alpha} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{O}_{reg})$ . Thus

$$
(\cup D_{\alpha}) \cap S = \pi^{-1}((\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}) \cap T) = \pi^{-1}(x).
$$

So

$$
D_{\alpha}\cap S=D_{\alpha}\cap \pi^{-1}(x).
$$

The image of the latter in  $G/B$  is exactly  $\{gB \mid x^g \in \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}\}.$ 

Thus it is clear at least that  $j^*(D_{\alpha}) = \sum n_i C_i, n_i \geq 1$ , the sum being taken over the components corresponding to  $\alpha$ .

To prove that  $n_i = 1$  we shall reproduce the calculation of [S1] which shows that  $(C, C)_S = -2$  for any component C. Here it is.

One knows that  $(C, C)_S = \deg_C(N_{C/S})$  where  $N_{C/S}$  denotes the normal bundle of C in S. Since  $S = \pi^{-1}(T)$  one has by [EGA] IV 17.13.2 that  $N_{S/X} =$  $(\pi_{1S})^*N_{T/\mathfrak{a}}$  which is trivial for a sufficiently small T. So  $(C, C)_S = \deg_C(N_{C/X})$ . The embedding  $i: C \longrightarrow X$  induces a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow T_C \longrightarrow i^*T_X \longrightarrow N_{C/X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

 $T_C$  (resp.  $T_X$ ) being the tangent bundle of C (resp. X). Since the tangent bundle of X is trivial, one obtains finally  $(C, C)_S = -\deg(T_C) = -2$ .

Finally, by Lemma 3 different components  $C, C'$  corresponding to the same simple root  $\alpha$  do not meet. So, using Proposition (5.2) for a component  $C_i$ corresponding to the simple root  $\alpha$ , one has  $-2 = (C_i, D_{\alpha})_X = n_i(C_i, C_i)_S =$  $-2n_i$  so  $n_i = 1$  as was claimed.  $\blacksquare$ 

### 6. Final

Proposition (5.2) and Lemma (5.3) determine the intersection matrix of the exceptional divisor. In fact, Lemma 3 asserts that any two different components have intersection index at most one and then by Section 5 any component of type  $\alpha^{\vee}$  (note: the standard name for such a component is the line of type  $\alpha$ !) meets exactly  $\langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle$  components of type  $\beta^{\vee}$ . Take any component of the exceptional divisor, say, of type  $\alpha^{\vee}$ . We see that for any simple root  $\beta$  not orthogonal to  $\alpha$  the exceptional divisor contains also components of type  $\beta^{\vee}$ . Thus the exceptional divisor contains the components corresponding to all simple roots. This proves that the intersection matrix of the exceptional divisor for the desingularization  $\pi : S \longrightarrow T \cap \mathcal{N}$  is exactly the Cartan matrix asserted by Theorem (2.2).

*Remark:* Another way of proving that any two different components have intersection index at most one was suggested by A. Joseph: if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  then either  $\langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle$  or  $\langle \beta^{\vee}, \alpha \rangle$  is not greater than 1 and so for components C of type  $\alpha^{\vee}$  and C' of type  $\beta^{\vee}$  one has  $(C, C')_S \leq (C, D_{\beta})_X = \langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle \leq 1$  up to exchange of  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$ .

To accomplish the proof of Theorem (2.2) we proceed as in [SI]:  $T\cap\mathcal{N}$  is normal in z by *Ioc. cir.,* Lemma (2.2). Since the intersection matrix of the components of the exceptional divisor is Cartan matrix of type  $A, B$  or  $E, x$  is a rational double point of that type (cf. [B1]).

Note that we obtain as a by-product of the calculation made that there is a unique subregular orbit. In fact, the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  in any subregular point  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ contains the components of all possible types. This means that the orbit  $G_x$ is dense in  $m_{\alpha}$  for any simple root  $\alpha$ . Thus any two subregular orbits should coincide.

### References

- [BI] E. Brieskorn, *Ober die Aufl6sung* gewisser *Singularit~ten yon holomorphen Abbildungen,* Math. Ann. 166 (1966), 76-102.
- [B2] E. Brieskorn, *Singular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups*, Actes Congrès Intern. Math., Nice 2 (1970), 279-284.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonne, EGA.
	- **[K]**  B. Kostant, *Lie* group *representations* on *polynomial* rings, Am. J. Math. 85 (1963), 327-404.
	- [R] R. Richardson, Conjugacy classes in parabolic subgroups of semisimple algebraic groups, Bull. London Math. Soc. 6 (1974), 21-24.
	- **[Sl]**  P. Slodowy, *Simple singularities and simple algebraic* groups, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 815(1980).
	- [Spa] N. Spaltenstein, On the fixed point set of a unipotent element on the variety of *Borel subgroups,* Topology 16 (1976), 203-204.
	- [Sp] T. Springer, *The unipotent variety of a semisimple group*, Proc. Colloq. Alg. Geom. Tata Institute (1969), 373-391.
	- [St] R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups,* Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 866(1974).